## ON THE IDENTITY AND NOMENCLATURE OF CERTAIN INDIAN IXORA (RUBIACEAE)<sup>1</sup>

D.B. DEBAND R.C. ROUT<sup>2</sup>

The revision of the genus Ixora L. (Rubiaceae) by Bremekamp (1937a) has been criticised by Corner (1941) in his study of the Malayan Ixora. Corner observed "the size and hairiness of corolla and even the shape of the petals I find to be most variable and, for the majority of the Malayan species, by no means specific. From the most recent works on the genus (Craib 1934, Bremekamp loc.cit.), the impression is gained that many new species can be blocked out from the old and that minute differences in hairiness, leaf size or shape and length of corolla tube suffice to define them. But I am certain that this view is mistaken, and if followed, must lead to the making of so many species that the classification of the genus will become impossible. Such splitting, based on relatively few herbarium specimens, merely obscures the issue which is to have names for the well defined groups of individuals".

Ixora chinensis Lam., I. coccinea L., I. javanica (Bl.) DC., I. grandifolia Zoll. & Mor., I. nigricans Wt. & Arn. etc. treated therein by Corner (loc.cit.) are very common and widespread in India. On the other hand, field studies conducted by the senior author (D.B.D.) of this note during the last three decades and that of the other author (R.C.R.) for the last four years support the observations of Corner. Again, specimens available in herbaria after the study by Bremekamp (loc. cit.) and Corner (loc.cit.) tend to bridge the gap in knowledge on variability of some species. Husain and Paul (1989) did not examine many specimens in CAL and K as there is no indication of their study on the herbarium specimens to which the authors of this note had access.

In the light of these facts we are in a position to comment on the status of several species thereby reducing them to synonyms. Those reduced to synonyms do not deserve infraspecific status.

1. Ixora goalparensis Bremek. (1938: 336) was postulated on the basis of U.N. Kanjilal 5758, collected from Goalpara district of Assam. This was distinguished from I. subsessilis in much longer calyx teeth. I. longibracteata Bremek. (1959: 371) was distinguished from I. goalparensis Bremek. in longer bracts, bracteoles and calyx teeth. A study of the protologue and type specimens along with other specimens of both the species suggests that I. goalparensis and I. longibracteata differ neither from each other nor from I. subsessilis in any respect. The latter is variable in the length of bracts, bracteoles, calyx teeth and corolla tube, thereby covering up the distinctions noted by Bremekamp (loc.cit.). Hence I. goalparensis and I. longibracteata are treated as synonyms as follows. They do not even deserve any infraspecific status.

Ixora subsessilis Wall. ex G. Don, Gen. Syst. 3: 572. 1834 (Lectotype: Jaintiapore (Jowai), May 1826, F. De Slva s.n. ex Wall. Cat. 6139 A CAL!). Hook. f., Fl. Brit. Ind. 3: 139. 1880; Husain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 119. 1989.

I. oxyphylla Wall. ex G. Don, Gen. Syst. 3: 572. 1834 (Type: Wall. Cat. 6159 & 6159 ACAL!)

I. goalparensis Bremek. in Journ. Bot. 76: 336. 1938; Husain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 117. 1989 (Type: Assam, Goalpara dist., Guma Reserve, 22. 5. 1916, U.N. Kanjilal 5758 holo. DD!, photo and iso. CAL!), SYN. Nov.

I. longibracteata Bremek. in Ind. For. 85(7): 371. 1959; Hussain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 119. 1989 (Type: Bangladesh, Chittagong. Hill Tracts, Tintilla, 16.5.1939, T. V. Dent 14, holo. DD!, photo CAL! Syn. Nov.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Accepted January 1991.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Botanical Survey of India, P.O. Botanic Garden, Howrah 711 103.

Husain and Paul (1989: 119) while selecting Wall. Cat. 6139 A CAL as the lectotype misinterpreted Wall. Cat. entry and considered that this specimen was collected by De Silva & W. Gomez. This is not correct. The specimen concerned in CAL was collected by F. De Silva in May 1826 from Sylhet mountain which is in fact Jowai, now in Meghalaya.

2. Ixora andamanensis Bremek. (1937b: 260) was described on the basis of C.E. Parkinson 140. It was probably wrongly compared with I. lacei and I. ackringae. Rather it agrees with I. barbata Roxb. wherein corolla tube varies in length from 20 to 35 mm and the throat is bearded to glabrescent. In I. andamanensis, corolla tube is 15 to 17 mm and the throat is glabrous or glabrescent. Thus it gets merged with I. barbata and does not deserve any infra-specific status.

I. katchalensis Husain & Paul (1984: 153 -156) was postulated on the basis of four gatherings: P. Chakraborty 1134 & 5305, N. Bhargava 5031 and N. P. Balakrishnan 5325. It was distinguished from I. barbata Roxb. in "distinctly longer petiole, more lateral nerves, different inflorescence pattern, number of flowers per head and structure of stigma". A study of protologue along with the types (holo. and iso.) suggests that, I. katchalensis does not differ from I. barbata in any respect and agrees with it. The stigma described as "slightly cleft in the middle by 1/3 from above" is found to be bifurcated to two linear stigmatic arms. Hence, I. katchalensis does not stand as a distinct species, or does not deserve any infraspecific status.

I. andamanensis and I. katchalensis are reduced here to synonyms as follows.

Ixora barbata Roxb. (Hort. Beng. 10. 1814 non. nud. &) ex Smith in Rees, Cycl. 19. no. 6. 1811; Roxb. Fl. Ind. 1: 394. 1820 (Type: Andaman Islands. Cultivated at H.B.C. (CAL), Roxburgh s.n. holo. K!, photo CAL!); Hook.f., Fl. Brit. Ind. 3: 148. 1880; Hussain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 146. 1989.

*I. andamanensis* Bremek. in Journ. Bot. 75: 260. 1937; Husain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 94. 1989 (Type: Andaman Is-

land, Havelock, 1914, C.E. Parkinson 140 holo. DD!, photo CAL!), Syn. Nov.

I. katchalensis Husain & Paul in Blumea 30: 153-156. 1984 & Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 153. 1989 (Type: India, Andaman & Nicobar Island, North Nicobars, Katchal Is., sea level, 22 Apr.1974, P. Chakraborty 1134 PBL), Syn. Nov.

3. Husain & Paul (1986, 1989) proposed *I. beddomei*, *I. manantoddi* and *I. mercaraica*, on the basis of a single gathering each, collected from the same locality in Wynaad district of Kerala, and the adjacent locality Mercara of Coorg, now in Karnataka. They distinguished these species from *I. lawsonii*, which was also originally collected from these localities.

Husain and Paul do not appear to have examined the type specimen of *I. lawsonii* located at K and MH as no sign of exclamation is added after the name of herbaria where they are extant nor they seem to have studied the original description properly. In describing this species they say "habit unknown... stipules not seen... anthers and filaments not seen". In 'distribution and ecology' they say "populations are usually encountered in ghats of Wynaad, Manantodde and Coorg", but no specimens has been cited. If populations are encountered then the habit and stipules cannot remain unknown. The notes on the species on p. 133 are ad verbatim copied from Gamble's original publication.

Gamble stated inter alia "Arbor vel frutex elatus ... Folia ..... basi rotundata, juniore aliquando attenuata; nervi lateralis.....10-16; stipules ovatae, apiculo dorsali longi subulata ..... stamina recurva, filamentis brevibus, antheris linearibus". This appears to be a rare species. In spite of the area having been thoroughly explored, only one collection has been made after the original collection.

These species differ from *I. lawsonii* only in slight pubescence of the leaf, a character that may arise due to ecological variation. Moreover for this slight variation in hairiness only, these taxa cannot be distinguished even in infraspecific status.

Ixora beddomei is distinguished from I. law-sonii in foliis basi acutis, calycum tubis pubescentibus et corollae tubis 17-17.5 mm longis. In I. lawsonii, leaf base varies from acute to obtuse or rounded. The calyx is pubescent in the same specimen (Wight s.n. K!) and corolla tube is 7-20 mm long, smaller size is evident in bud stage. Thus I. beddomei cannot stand as a distinct species.

Type specimens of *I. mercaraica* (Hohenacker 439 a) is too poor to be the basis of a species. It is an incomplete specimen with only a pair of leaves at the base of peduncle, which is normally variable from those of the other leaves in form, length of the petiole and hairiness. The peduncle may be pubescent when the remaining part of the stem is glabrous. In *I. lawsonii*, petiole varies from 2 to 10 mm. Calyx tube pubescent outside, calyx teeth 3-7.5 x 0.5-1.0 mm, pubescent outside, glabrous within. Moreover, a species should not be distinguished on the basis of slight differences in quantitative characters like length of petiole, bracteoles and calyx lobes. Thus *I. mercaraica* also does not stand.

I. manantoddi agrees with I. lawsonii in all respects, except hairiness of young branches and leaves beneath. So it does not stand as a distinct species.

I. beddomei, I. mercaraica and I. manantoddi are reduced to synonyms as follows:

Ixora lawsonii Gamble in Kew Bull. 1920: 247. 1920; Husain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 131. 1989 (Type: Kerala, Wynaad dist., Manantodde, ± 1000 m, Jan. 1884, M.A. Lawson 43 lecto. K!, photo CAL!, Isolecto. MH!).

I. beddomei Husain & Paul in Candollea 41 (1): 87. 1986 & Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 124. 1989 (Type: Kerala, Wynaad, 1885, R.H. Beddome 3909 holo. BM!, photo CAL!, iso. K), Syn. Nov.

I. mercaraica Husain & Paul in Candollea 41(1): 88. 1986 & Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 135. 1989 (Type: Karnataka, Mercara, 1847, Hohenacker 439 a, holo. BM!, photo CAL!, iso. K), Syn. Nov.

I. manantoddi Husain & Paul in Pl. Syst. Evol. (MSS) & in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 133. 1989 (Type: Kerala, Wynaad, Manantodde, R.H. Beddome 3908, holo. BM! photo CAL!, iso. K), Syn. Nov.

4. Ixora capituliflora Bremek. (1937 b) was based on C.E. Parkinson 1198 and J.H. Lace 2818 from Andaman Islands. The author distinguished this species from three distantly related species: I. merguensis Hook.f. in calyx lobes longer, glabrous, corolla not bearded; from I. korthalsiana Kurz in corolla not bearded and from I. kurziana (Teysm. & Binn.) Kurz in leaves smaller, inflorescence subcapitate, calyx lobes longer. On examination of types and other collections from Andaman Islands, it is observed that this species is not distinguishable from *I. finlaysoniana* Wall. ex G. Don. The leaves of I. finlaysoniana are narrowly obovate or oblanceolate, obtuse or mucronulate at apex, atenuate at base, whereas in Andaman specimens (I. capituliflora), it is variable from elliptic to -oblong, -obovate or -lanceolate. The cyme is also variable, subcapitate to distinctly branched corymbose one. So, I. capituliflora Bremek. does not stand as a distinct species and is reduced to a synonym as follows. It does not even deserve any infraspecific status.

Ixora finlaysoniana Wall. ex G. Don, Gen. Syst. 3: 572. 1834 (Type: East India, Finlayson, s.n. ex Wall. Cat. 6166 K-WH microfiche CAL!).

I. capituliflora Bremek. in Journ. Bot. 75: 297. 1937; Husain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 124. 1989 (Type: Andaman Islands, 1916, C.E. Parkinson 1198, holo. & iso. DD!, photo & iso. CAL!), Syn. Nov.

5. Ixora roxburghii Balakr. (1981:232) was proposed as a substitute name for I. villosa Roxb. (1814 & 1820) as the latter was a later homonym of that of Poiret (1813), which represents a synonym of Pavetta villosa Vahl. The name I. roxburghii Balakr. was accepted by Husain and Paul (1989:102). However, they overlooked that I. roxburghii Balakr. is also a later homonym of that of O. Kuntze (1891), which represents a synonym of Pavetta tomentosa Roxb. ex Smith. So, this name is rejected as per Art. 64 of Interna-

tional Code of Botanical Nomenclature. Consequently a substitute name is proposed as follows:

Ixora balakrishnii Deb et Rout, nom. nov.

Ixora villosa Roxb. (Hort. Beng. 10. 1814, nom. nud. &) Fl. Ind. 1: 382. 1820, non Poir. 1813

(Type: Sylhet, Roxburgh s.n. ex Wall. Cat. 6137 A, CAL!, K); Hook.f., Fl. Brit. Ind. 3: 144. 1880.
I. roxburghii Balakr. Fl. Jowai 1: 232. 1981, non O. Kuntze 1891; Husain & Paul in Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 102. 1989.

## REFERENCES

BALAKRISHNAN, N.P. (1981): Flora of Jowai, Vol. I. Botanical Survey of India, Howrah.

Bremekamp, C.E.B. (1937a): The Malayan species of the genus *Ixora* (Rub.). *Bull. Jard. Bott. Buit. Ser.* 3, 14: 197-367.

Bremekamp, C.E.B. (1937b): The *Ixora* species of Burma and the Andaman Islands. *Journ. Bot.* 75: 108-111, 169-175, 260-266, 295-298, 318-326.

Bremekamp, C.E.B. (1938): *Ixora* species of Burma and the Andaman Islands – Additions and Emendations. *Journ. Bot.* 76: 330-336.

Bremekamp, C.E.B. (1959): New *Ixora* species from Bengal, Burma and Nicobar Islands. *Ind. For. 85 (7)*: 371-375.

CORNER, E.J.H. (1941): Notes on the Systematy and Distribution of Malayan Phanerogams IV: *Ixora. Garden's Bull. Str. settlements* 11 (3): 177-235.

CRAIB, W.G. (1934): Florae Siamensis Enumeratio. Vol. 2. Don, G. (1834): A. General System of Gardening and Botany,

Vol. 3. London.

GAMBLE, J.S. (1920): In: Decades Kewenses (XXXVIII): Plantarum novarum Herbario Horti Regii Conservatarum. Decas XCIX. Kew Bull. 1920: 247.

Husain, T. & Paul, S.R. (1984): A new species of *Ixora* (Rubiaceae) from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Blumea 30*: 153-156.

Husain, T. & Paul, S.R. (1986): Two new species of *Ixora* L. (Rubiaceae) from India. *Candollea 41 (1):* 87-93.

HUSAIN, T. & PAUL, S.R. (1989): Taxonomic Studies on Indian species of genus Ixora L. (Rubiaceae). Journ. Econ. Taxon. Bot. Addl. Ser. 6: 1-205.

KUNTZE, O. (1891): Revisio Generum Plantarum. Vol. I. Paris. POIRET, J.L.M. (1813): *In:* Lamarck, Encyclopedie Methodique suppl. 3: 208. Paris.

ROXBURGH, W. (1814): Hortus Bengalensis.

Roxburgh, W. (1820): Flora India, vol. I. Serampur.